



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/678,890	10/04/2000	Steven Ausnit	769-264	7038
29540	7590 07/24/2003		•	
PITNEY, HARDIN, KIPP & SZUCH LLP			EXAMINER	
685 THIRD AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10017-4024			KIM, EUGENE LEE	
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721 DATE MAILED: 07/24/2003	16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>			
	,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/678,890	AUSNIT, STEVEN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Eugene L Kim	3721			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sneet with the c	correspondence address			
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 1	This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow					
Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	er Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11,	100 O.G. 210.			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application	n				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-9</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🔀	S) Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and fon Papers	or election requirement.				
• •	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume					
* S	Copies of the certified copies of the pr application from the International Esee the attached detailed Office action for a list.	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome:	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachmen	t(s)	_	•			
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and T	rademark Office		D 4 4D N 40			

Application/Control Number: 09/678,890

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Van Erden (#,6,327,837). Van Erden shows advancing a first continuous strip 32 (fig 2) and attaching discrete sections of zipper profile 10 oriented in the machine direction at predetermined spaced intervals (fig 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 09/678,890

Art Unit: 3721

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

- 4. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Erden in view of Ouchi (#6,068,585). Van Erden substantially shows the claimed subject matter but does not show two webs and attaching means as claimed. Van Erden does not show two webs since web 32 if folded over former means 34 in a FFS operation. Ouchi discloses attaching strips 5, 6 simultaneously to advancing carrier webs 11, 16. It would have been obvious to one of ordrinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Van Erden with attaching means as taught by Ouchi to attach members to two carrier webs if no former means were utilized in a FFS operation.
- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene L Kim whose telephone number is 703 308-1886. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 8 a.m. to 6 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 703 308-2187. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872-9302 for regular communications and 703 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-1148.

EUGENE KIM PRIMARY EXAMINER